

Message

From: Becker, Jonathan [Becker.Jonathan@epa.gov]
Sent: 3/1/2018 12:18:54 PM
To: Anderson, Brian [Anderson.Brian@epa.gov]; Garber, Kristina [Garber.Kristina@epa.gov]; Panger, Melissa [Panger.Melissa@epa.gov]
CC: Paisley-Jones, Claire [Paisley-Jones.Claire@epa.gov]; Sims, Diann [Sims.Diann@epa.gov]; Perry, Tracy [Perry.Tracy@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: can one of you write up a weekly for the workshop???

Looks good to me. Thanks for putting this together.

From: Anderson, Brian
Sent: Wednesday, February 28, 2018 10:19 PM
To: Garber, Kristina <Garber.Kristina@epa.gov>; Panger, Melissa <Panger.Melissa@epa.gov>
Cc: Paisley-Jones, Claire <Paisley-Jones.Claire@epa.gov>; Becker, Jonathan <Becker.Jonathan@epa.gov>; Sims, Diann <Sims.Diann@epa.gov>; Perry, Tracy <Perry.Tracy@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: can one of you write up a weekly for the workshop???

I had a few small edits – sorry, email does not have track changes. Seem ok?

B

From: Garber, Kristina
Sent: Wednesday, February 28, 2018 4:37 PM
To: Anderson, Brian <Anderson.Brian@epa.gov>; Panger, Melissa <Panger.Melissa@epa.gov>
Cc: Paisley-Jones, Claire <Paisley-Jones.Claire@epa.gov>; Becker, Jonathan <Becker.Jonathan@epa.gov>; Sims, Diann <Sims.Diann@epa.gov>; Perry, Tracy <Perry.Tracy@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: can one of you write up a weekly for the workshop???

Here's a draft weekly. Feel free to send comments. Do you want me to define the alphabet soup of acronyms?

Interagency workshop on applying usage data to endangered species risk assessments

On February 26th, 2018, staff and managers from EPA-OCSP (including EFED, BEAD, PRD, OGC, and OCSP Immediate Office) met with representatives from Department of the Interior (USFWS), Department of Commerce (NOAA), USDA, and Center for Environmental Quality (CEQ) to discuss pesticide usage data in the context of endangered species risk assessments. Usage data describe how a pesticide is actually applied at the field and landscape scale (e.g., percent crop treated). Traditionally, endangered species risk assessments have not considered usage data, instead relying upon areas where pesticides are potentially applied. This traditional approach results in a gross overestimate of how much of a single pesticide may be applied nationally in a year. USFWS has requested usage data from USEPA for chlorpyrifos, diazinon and malathion so that they can complete national level biological opinions for these pesticides by considering where these pesticides are most likely to be applied. At this meeting, members of the five agencies discussed the nature of available usage data, potential sources of additional usage data and how to apply these data in risk assessments for endangered species. Major areas of interest for usage data that have not previously been available include mosquito adulticide uses of chlorpyrifos and malathion and usage data for Hawaii and the US territories. The group agreed that usage data should be incorporated into the assessment for USFWS and that a small workgroup will develop a detailed method for using the available data to do so, focusing first on malathion. The group was charged with determining “where malathion is likely to be applied, consistent with the best available scientific and commercial data, and

how those data can be incorporated into the risk assessment method.” (Kris Garber, 347-8940, Claire Paisley-Jones, 308-8070)

From: Anderson, Brian

Sent: Wednesday, February 28, 2018 1:26 PM

To: Panger, Melissa <Panger.Melissa@epa.gov>; Garber, Kristina <Garber.Kristina@epa.gov>

Subject: can one of you write up a weekly for the workshop???